### VALIDATION OF THE MERIT MMW TARGET CONCEPT



Beam jet interaction @ MERIT 14 GeV/c beam, 12TP, 10T field



I. Efthymiopoulos, A. Fabich, F. Haug, M. Palm, J. Lettry, H. Pernegger, R.Steerenberg, A. Grudiev, CERN
H.G. Kirk, T. Tsang, BNL - N.Mokhov, S.Striganov, FNAL
A. Carroll, V.B. Graves, P. Spampinato, ORNL - K.T. McDonald, Princeton Univ.
J.R.J. Bennett, O. Caretta, P. Loveridge, RAL - H. Park, SUNY at Stony Brook

CARE08 Plenary CERN, December 3, 2008

### Outline

- Introduction
- Experimental apparatus
- Analysis results
- Conclusions next steps

#### Introduction

The MERIT experiment is a **proof-of-principle** test of a target system for a high power proton beam to be used as front-end for a **neutrino factory** or a **muon collider** 

□ In both cases the production of intense beams ( $v_{\mu}$  or  $\mu$ ) originates from highintensity **proton beams** impinging in a **target material** 

☐ Target system (targetry) : target and capture system optimized to produce high neutrino or muon flux



#### Introduction

### **Targetry - options:**

- Solid targets:
  - Static targets : graphite (or carbon composite) water(or gas) cooled [CNGS, NuMI, T2K]
  - Present experiments indicate that solid target systems cannot be reliably used for proton beam powers at the multiMW scale
  - Moving solid targets : is an interesting variation, R&D ongoing

### Liquid targets :

- Vessel contained liquid : is a possibility (SNS), however the use of beam windows is rather challenging for multiMW beams
- Free jet configuration : past experiments at CERN & BNL produced encouraging results, proposed for Neutrino Factory Study-2



#### Introduction

#### Liquid targets - free jet

- The use of liquid targets (Hg or PbBi, etc.) in a free jet configuration is very attractive as it avoids the use of beam windows and offers the possibility of re-generation of the target volume at each pulse.
- □ However, there are important issues to clarify:
- the stability of the liquid jet, in particular in the presence of a magnetic field required for the capture of the secondary particles
- ७ the formation of caviation due to the energy deposition in the target volume → inefficiencies in the secondary particle flux production

The **MERIT experiment [Hg-jet]** is designed to provide answers to both questions and therefore validate the liquid target concept



### The MERcury Intense Target Experiment

#### Scientific goals

- 1. Study the impact of an intense proton beam with a free mercury jet, at the presence of high magnetic field
- e.g. MHD effects on a mercury jet
  - □ jet dispersal at t=100µs with magnetic field



- 2. Study the secondary particle yield and possible cavitation formation
- Use the "pump-probe" method
  - Few high-intensity bunches "pump" followed by other bunches – "probe" at variable delay
    - observe the secondary particle flux vs time
    - b deficiencies could be a sign of cavitation formation



R.Samulyak-BNL



#### Key parameters of the experiment

- 14 and 24 GeV/c proton beam pulses from CERN Proton Synchrotron (PS);
  - □ 1÷16 bunches/pulse, with variable spacing in between; up to 3.5×10<sup>12</sup> protons/bunch
- Beam spot at the target  $\sigma_t \sim 1.2$  mm;
- <u>Capture system</u>: solenoid with 15T field surrounding the target
  - proton beam axis at 67mrad to magnet axis
- □ <u>Target</u>: free mercury jet of 1-cm Ø; velocity up to 20m/s
  - **u** jet axis at 33mrad to magnet axis ; interaction region ~30cm (2  $λ_{int}$ )
- The experiment took data for three weeks in autumn 2007
  - $\approx$  360 beam pulses in total; with each beam pulse "a separate experiment"
    - vary bunch intensity, bunch spacing, # of bunches
    - vary magnetic field strength
    - vary beam-jet alignment, beam spot size
- Data analysis ongoing results obtained so far will be shown here

### Outline

- Introduction
- Experimental apparatus
- Analysis results
- Conclusions next steps



# MERIT – Experimental setup

#### Schematic layout



- The experiment was specially designed to avoid opening the primary container (Hg-wet volume) at CERN
  - 180deg bend in the Hg-delivery piping system upstream; likely cause of deterioration in the quality of the Hg-jet



# MERIT – Experimental setup

#### Hg-delivery system



Drive cylinders: 15-cm diam, 45 lt/min, 2.1 MPa



# MERIT – Experimental setup

#### Hg-delivery system



V. Graves - ORNL

- Double container (primary and secondary) for safety requirements
- Upstream window; Ti6AlV4, double pressurized wall to detect failure





### **MERIT** – Experimental setup



Viewport 4, Olympus 33 µs exposure; 160x140 pixels





Viewport 3, FV Camera

Viewport 2, SMD Camera 0.15 µs exposure; 245x252 pixels

Viewport 1, FV Camera 6 µs exposure; 260x250 pixels











Nov. 11, 2007 Shot # 17020, 8 bunches, 6x10<sup>12</sup> protons, 7 Tesla, 15 m/s jet



## **MERIT** – Experimental setup

#### **Experimental layout**





## **MERIT** – Experimental setup

#### Particle flux detectors



- ~1 cm<sup>2</sup> active area
- 200 µm thick



**pCVD diamond** ■ 7.5×7.5 mm<sup>2</sup> active area ■ 300 μm thick

bypass capacitor 100nF/500V

#### Particle fluxes - 3×1013 protons (MARS Simulation)

charged hadrons (E>200 KeV)

particle detectors

neutrons (E>100 KeV)



ACEM detector pCVD diamond & pin diode



### **MERIT** – Experimental setup

15

#### Complete installation in the nTOF tunnel



### The MERcury Intense Target Experiment

### Outline

- Introduction
- Experimental apparatus
- Analysis results
- Conclusions next steps



### MERIT – Analysis results

#### Beam shots summary





<b>Beam</b> [GeV/c]	Horiz. [mm]	<b>Vert.</b> [ <i>mm</i> ]	Spot [mm2]	Beam Density [J/gr @ 30 TP]
14	2.40	1.37	10.35	94.5
24	1.74	1.04	5.72	171





#### 18

#### Beam-Hg-jet interaction examples – 14 GeV/c beam













19

#### Hg-jet properties without beam





- No significant reduction in the jet velocity with the magnetic field.
- No pressure increase for v = 15 m/s jet with magnetic field.
- Good agreement of the jet height increase with magnetic field and MHD simulation (R.Samulyak -2008)



interaction

# MERIT – Analysis results

20

#### Interaction example - 16×10<sup>12</sup> protons, 5T, 14 GeV/c



Note disruption of top of jet at early times, and of bottom at later times.
 "Disruption length" inferred from number of frames the disruption lasts.

21

#### Disruption length vs beam intensity



Disruption length is always smaller than the beam-jet overlap distance (~30cm)

- Maximum disruption length is the same at 14 and 24 GeV/c
  - ~30cm corresponds to 67Hz repetition rate, which for a 24 GeV/c beam of 30 × 10<sup>12</sup> protons, equivalent to 115kJ corresponds to a total beam power of 7.7MW
- The disruption length decreases with increasing magnetic field
- The disruption threshold increases with increasing magnetic field.



Jet Breakup Velocity Observed at Port 2 with Fast Camera



22

t = 0



t = 0.150 ms



*t* = 0.175 *m*s

*t* = 0.375 *m*s



t = 0

 $t = 0.075 \, ms$ 

*t* = 0.175 *m*s

t = 0.375 msI.Efthymiopoulos, CERN

#### Jet Breakup Velocity Measurements

23



- Beam spot area at 24 GeV/c is (14/24) of that at 14 GeV/c.
- □ Beam intensity = energy/cm<sup>2</sup> is  $(24/14)^2 \approx 3$  times greater at 24 than at 14 GeV/c.
- Measurements are consistent with model that breakup velocity seam intensity.



#### 24

#### Pump-Probe study: 4 ×10<sup>12</sup> p. – "pump" + 4 ×10<sup>12</sup> p. – "probe" at 14 GeV/c



 $\Delta t_{(pump-probe)} = 0s$  $\Leftrightarrow$  single-turn extraction





**Δt**<sub>(pump-probe)</sub> = **3.2** μ**s** ⇔ "probe" extracted in subsequent turn

**Δt** (pump-probe) = **5.8** μs ⇔ "probe" extracted after 2<sup>nd</sup> full turn

- Target supports 14-GeV/c, 4×10<sup>12</sup> protons beam at 172 kHz rep rate without disruption.
- Preliminary analysis of studies at 14 GeV/c with  $15 \times 10^{12}$  protons-pump and  $5 \times 10^{12}$  protons-probe with delays of 2-700  $\mu$ s indicate little change in secondary particle production by probe.
  - ✤ Initial breakup of jet does not reduce particle production immediately.
  - $\checkmark$  May be able to use bunch trains of several-hundred  $\mu$ s length.



# MERIT – Analysis results

#### Particle detector data – pCVD diamond detector response



Detector signal for multi-bunch

#### Response linearity

Able to identify individual bunches event at the highest intensities

Data analysis ongoing...

Particle detector - flux measurement

26

### **Comparison with MARS simulation**





## Conclusions

- The MERIT experiment took beam as scheduled for three weeks in autumn 2007 at CERN PS. All systems performed well, the run with beam was smooth and the whole scientific program was completed
- The primary objective to conduct a successful and safe experiment at CERN was amply fulfilled
- Important results validating the liquid metal target concept are coming out as the analysis progresses
- The MERIT experiment represents a big step forward in the targetry R&D for high power systems



## Next steps

#### Post-MERIT Targetry R&D

- Discussions ongoing to define the future R&D program. Two workshops held so far:
  - http://www.physics.princeton.edu/~bridges/targetworkshop2/
  - http://www.physics.ox.ac.uk/users/peachk/HPT/
- Areas for further studies identified so far:
  - Hg-jet quality and handling
    - induced corrosion in the primary container? traces in the MERIT primary container?
    - nozzle design for improved jet quality
    - Improved optical diagnostics system to observe the jet in both planes {H, V} and comparison with simulations
  - Study of system aspects, e.g. Hg jet and beam dump
- Repeating MERIT with improved conditions would be an important milestone (possibly coupled with a capture & beam dump system) once the physics case is established and an adequate experimental area at CERN or elsewhere is found